

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,230	09/28/2001	Charles W. Shattuck 091 (4132		5871	
23409 7	590 03/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP			HANSEN, COLBY M		
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3682		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/966,230	SHATTUCK ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Colby Hansen	3682	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/2	1/2006.		
•		action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-6,8-12 and 21 is/are pending in the	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-12 and 21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on $_{_{_{_{_{_{_{_{_{_{_{_{_{_{_{_{_{_{_{$			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
🗖	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/966,230 Page 2

Art Unit: 3682

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "distinct components" as claimed in claim 1, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3682

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6, 8-12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Nowhere in the original specification are the components to which the bearing is affixed disclosed as being "distinct".

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6, 8-12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claim 1, it is unclear what the "distinct components" are with respect to the invention. Additionally, it is unclear what the metes and bounds are of claim 1, as the addition of "configured to support axial loading between two components" appears to be an intended use recitation, but then applicant includes what appears to be a positive recitation stating that the second bearing race/cage assembly are "distinct from the two components between which axial loading is supported by the thrust bearing". Is applicant claiming the combination or the subcombination?

Application/Control Number: 09/966,230 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 10, and 11, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bando (US Pat. 4,981,373).

Bando (US Pat. 4.981,373) discloses a thrust bearing configured to support axial loading between two components (any area of shaft 13 and housing 14 not in close vicinity of bearing races, as broadly recited and understood) with needle rollers 3, the thrust bearing comprising: a first race component 5 made of a first metal (cross-hatching denotes metal) and including a flat, circular raceway portion, defined about an axis, and an axially extending lip portion 7, 16; a plurality of needle rollers 3 arranged radially with respect to the axis for rolling contact with the flat raceway portion of the first race component; a bearing cage 4 retaining the needle rollers 3 and engageable with the lip portion of the first component for piloting of the bearing cage 4; and a second race component 13 (portion in immediate vicinity of first component) made of a second metal (cross-hatching denotes metal) and including a flat portion in contact with the raceway portion of the first race component along substantially an entire portion of the race way portion supporting the plurality of needle rollers, and, also, including a lip portion 15, 17 extending axially and radially from the flat portion and beyond the lip portion of the first race component such that the second race component 13 is engageable by the bearing cage, as broadly recited, the second component is engageable to the bearing cage by way of the first component, to hold the

Art Unit: 3682

first race component, the second race component and the bearing cage together as an assembly distinct from the two components between which axial loading is supported by the thrust bearing (the bearing is inherently connected to between "components" from which it is "distinct" (e.g. the furthest portion of shaft 13 from its engagement area with first race component 5 is "distinct", as broadly recited and understood)).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 8-9, 12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bando (US Pat. 4,981,373).

Bando (US Pat. 4,981,373) discloses the claimed invention except for the first and second race components being made of specific material having certain characteristic with respect to one another or the duplication of the fixturing upon both races of the bearing assembly.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized high carbon, bearing quality steel for the first race component, and more ductile and easily welded low carbon steel for the second race component in a number of forms to create the cheapest, highest possible quality bearing, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis

of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the same race fixturing assembly upon both races of the thrust bearing so as to have consistency in the fixturing structures that will provide a robust and easy method of assembly, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8-12 and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection (amendment required further interpretation of Bando as set forth in the above rejection).

#### FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. Group 3600's facsimile number is (571) 273-8300. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such

Application/Control Number: 09/966,230 Page 7

Art Unit: 3682

submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate

Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery
time. For a complete list of correspondence <u>not</u> permitted by facsimile transmission, see MEP.

502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those
requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile
transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check <u>should not be</u>
submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MEP. 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent ar
Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 872-9306) on
(Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
(Signature)

Art Unit: 3682

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MEP. 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colby Hansen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7105. The

Application/Control Number: 09/966,230

Art Unit: 3682

Page 9

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and every other Friday from 7:30 PM to 5:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley, can be reached on (571) 272-6917. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Colby M. Hansen

Patent Examiner

RICHARD RIDLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER